

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.napto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,873	07/16/2003	Harold E. Mattice	IGT1P096/P-824	1742
79646 7590 11/24/2908 Weaver Austin Villeneuve & Sampson LLP - IGT Attn: IGT			EXAMINER	
			DEODHAR, OMKAR A	
P.O. Box 70250 Oakland, CA 94612-0250		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Oakland, CA 3-	Oakiand, CA 9-012-0250		3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/621,873	MATTICE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		OMKAR A. DEODHAR	3714			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 September 2008.					
~=	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice 3) Information	tt(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5)  Notice of Informal P	nte			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Art Unit: 3714

# **DETAILED ACTION**

# **Final Rejection**

# Response to Arguments & Amendment

Applicant's amendment overcomes the section 112 rejection.

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments are drawn to the claim amendments & are addressed in the rejection below. The Examiner maintains his position that authenticating game data in the specific manner recited in the claims is a matter of obvious design choice readily apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art. New claim 21 is addressed below.

Consequently, all claims are respectfully rejected.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 3714

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alcorn (US 6.149.522).

Claims 1, 7-13 & 16-20:

Alcorn teaches:

A method of authenticating configuration data within or about a gaming machine with respect to a gaming machine boot process

(Abstract – "The authentication program stored in the ... performs an authentication check on the casino game data set at appropriate times ... prior to commencement of game play ..." Boot processes take place prior to game play.)

the method comprising:

providing a central processing unit for use in conjunction with the gaming machine; said central processing unit designed or configured to execute executable programming instructions for generating a wager-based game on the gaming machine; providing a memory device used in conjunction with the gaming machine, said memory device storing the executable programming instructions for generating the wager- based game; providing a volatile programmable electronic device for use in conjunction with the gaming machine, said volatile programmable electronic device comprising a plurality of logic elements programmable to form logic gates, said volatile programmable electronic device disposed in a communication path between the central processing unit and the memory device;

(Gaming machines have CPU's. Col. 1. Lines 32-39 teach various memory types including RAM. Memory is necessarily comprised of the claimed logic elements.)

Application/Control Number: 10/621,873

Art Unit: 3714

providing a configurator for use in conjunction with the gaming machine, said configurator including a read only configuration file;

Page 4

said configuration file comprising instructions for configuring the volatile programmable electronic device to enable

communications between the central processing unit and the memory device; providing a separate read only custodial file for use in conjunction with the gaming machine, wherein at least a substantial portion of said custodial file is identical to at least a substantial portion of said configuration file when said configuration file is authentic, said custodial file residing in a location separate from said configurator;

holding the operating contents of said volatile programmable electronic device as substantially empty upon a shut down phase of said gaming machine to disable communication between the CPU & memory device;

booting up said gaming machine after said shut down phase;

transferring said configuration file from said configurator to said volatile programmable electronic device;

configuring said volatile programmable electronic device with said configuration file;

comparing at least a representative portion of data from said configuration file with at least a representative portion of data from said custodial file; confirming whether said configuration file has been successfully compared to said custodial file to a sufficient level of satisfaction; and

Application/Control Number: 10/621,873

Art Unit: 3714

permitting a substantial amount of regular gaming machine operations only after a successful confirming step,

Page 5

facilitating communication between said memory device and said central processing unit upon determining that said configuration file has been successfully compared to said custodial file.

(Referring to Figure 7, Steps 102 & 104, the boot loader is interpreted as the claimed "configurator" & the BIOS is interpreted as the "configuration file". The custodial file is explicitly taught in Col. 3. Lines 50-55. New files are compared to the custodial file when the authentication program determines their validity. Alcorn discloses equivalent steps as recited in Applicant's claims.

Referring again to Figure 7 and the related description, BIOS is loaded into the main memory, as is bootstrap, OS, drivers and authentication software. In Step 106, pertinent game data such as graphics, sound and money handling data sets is accessed. In Step 108, data validity is determined. If the data is valid, the application is loaded into the device's main memory. If the data is invalid, the application is prohibited from loading. In Step 118, a second authentication program further determines validity. Again, a valid data determination leads to game data sets being loaded and an invalid data determination prohibits loading of game data sets. The process is repeated every time the machine is powered on. Additionally, the process may be performed on a periodic basis, or on demand. See also Col. 5. Lines 5-14 & Lines 28-43.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to authenticate game data in the manner recited in claims 1, 13, 19 & 20 because this

Art Unit: 3714

would have been considered a matter of design choice failing to patentably distinguish over the authentication process taught by Alcorn. Additionally, authenticating data as taught by Applicant would have the same results as the authentication taught by Alcorn; that is, maintaining security of the gaming machine. The claims have been amended to recite that the configuration file comprises instructions to enable the claimed communication & that communication between memory & the CPU is disabled upon a shut down phase. This is also a matter of obvious design choice that is well within the level of ordinary skill in the art. Examiner also respectfully submits that disabling communication between a computer's devices during shutdown is implicit.

After authentication is complete, game play is offered. See Step 124 of Figure 7).

### Claim 2:

Alcorn teaches:

The method of claim 1, wherein said step of providing a configurator includes providing a configurator that comprises a memory unit, (See Figures 1, 2 and 7 - ample memory units are used in the authentication processes.)

# Claims 3-6, 14 & 15:

Alcorn teaches:

As presented above with respect to Claim 1, the manner of authentication is a matter of design choice. Similarly, the specific type of memory unit i.e., ROM, EEPROM, FPGA and PLD is also a matter of design choice. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to use a wide variety of readily

Art Unit: 3714

available storage devices in the authentication process because this is viewed as a mere design consideration failing to patentably distinguish over Alcorn.

#### Claim 21:

While Alcorn teaches prohibiting loading of an invalid boot application (Figure 7, Step 110), Alcorn does not explicitly teach determining not to facilitate communication between said memory device and said central processing unit upon determining that said configuration file has been unsuccessfully compared to said custodial file.

(It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to not permit communication upon an unsuccessful comparison of a configuration file to a custodial file. Given that maintaining security of a gaming machine is of paramount importance, not permitting potentially dangerous software execution yields predictable results.)

# Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3714

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to OMKAR A. DEODHAR whose telephone number is (571)272-1647. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/OAD/

/Corbett Coburn/ Primary Examiner AU 3714 Application/Control Number: 10/621,873

Page 9

Art Unit: 3714